### **Original Research Article**

# A prospective comparative evaluation of octenidine dihydrochloride and saline dressing in the management of diabetic foot ulcers

Nitesh<sup>1</sup>, Deepak Pankaj<sup>2\*</sup>, Sweta Muni<sup>3</sup>, Nitesh Kumar<sup>4</sup>, Vibhuti Bhushan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar,

India <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Indira Gandhi Institute of medicine science. Patna.Bihar, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of medicine science, Patna, Bihar, India <sup>5</sup>Additional Professor, Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of medicine science, Patna,

Bihar, India

Received: 04-01-2021 / Revised: 27-02-2021 / Accepted: 05-03-2021

#### Abstract

**Aim:** To evaluate the effectiveness of octenidine dihydrochloride dressing and saline dressing in healing diabetic foot ulcers. **Material and methods:** This was a prospective comparative study conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India for 18 months. A total of 100 patients, 50 patients in each arm of Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group and Saline dressing group, with complaints of chronic DFU, were included in this study. Regular wound dressings were done with octenidine dihydrochloride topical ointment in one group and with saline in other group and the wounds were assessed regularly for healing progress during the study period. **Results:** A total of 100 subjects with 50 in each group of octenidine dihydrochloride group and saline group completed the follow-upperiod. Among the total of 100 subjects, 76(76%) were male and 24 (24%) were female. There was a male preponderance in both the groups (72% males in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 80% males in saline group). Mean age was 57.1 in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 36% in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 56.3 in saline dressing group. About 52% in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 50% in saline group had a habit of alcohol consumption. Among the blood investigations done, haemoglobin (Hb) was taken into consideration for statistical analysis. Mean Hb in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 10.2 years in saline group. The comparison between the outcomes of octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group and saline dressing group in terms of surface area reduction of wounds were made. **Conclusion:** octenidine dihydrochloride dressing is more effective than saline dressing in achieving complete healing, reducing wound surface area, and decreasing morbidity in patients with DFU.

Keywords: Diabetic foot ulcer, octenidine dihydrochloride, saline dressing, management.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

### Introduction

Foot ulcers are a common and disabling condition in people with diabetes, having a global prevalence of 6.3%. Men are more likely than women to develop a diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) and people with type 2 diabetes are at greater risk than those with type 1 diabetes.[1] DFUs have a negative impact on patients' quality of life, increase the risk of infection and amputation[2,3], and constitute a considerable economic burden for healthcare providers.[4] Each year, an estimated 2–2.5% of people with diabetes develop a DFU. In England in 2014–15, the estimated cost of foot ulceration and amputation was £1 billion, and this figure is expected to rise in the future.[5]It is, therefore, essential to identify and treat DFUs promptly to patient improve outcomes and reduce financial pressures on healthcare providers. The most common risk factors for DFU

\*Correspondence

#### Dr. Deepak Pankaj

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India. **E-mail:** <u>drdeepakpankaj@gmail.com</u>

formation are diabetic neuropathy and vascular disease[6], which slow healing and increase the risk that wounds will become chronic.

Biofilms and infection can also impact the rate of healing. The number of viable microorganisms present on a surface is known as the bio burden. Increased bio burden has been proposed as an important predictor of poor healing outcomes.[7] Microorganisms (bacteria, fungi and protists) can change from single-celled freemoving forms to a structured community of cells known as a biofilm following attachment, growth and division phases. Mature biofilms are surrounded by a protective matrix, which makes them difficult to remove with antibiotics, antiseptics and disinfectants. At least 60% of chronic wounds have a biofilm.[8,9] Their presence delays wound healing and they can act as a precursor to infection if not managed effectively.[8,9] Octenidine dihydrochloride is an antimicrobial with broad-spectrum efficacy and no known microbial resistance. It is a safe and effective agent that prevents bacterial growth.[10] It is well tolerated, has no side effects and is not absorbed systemically. Octenidine also has deodorising properties, is active in as little as 60 seconds, and its biocidal activity lasts at least 48 hours. octenilin® wound irrigation solution (schülke) is a colourless, alcohol-free solution containing octenidine, which has been designed to cleanse and moisturise chronic wounds and burns. octenilin® has been shown to inhibit the formation of biofilm material for up to 3

days.[10] It can also be used to loosen encrusted dressings and cleanse hard-to-reach areas, such as small fissures and wound pockets.[11]

octenilin® irrigation solution contains ethylhexylglycerin, which has surfactant, emollient, skin-conditioning and antimicrobial properties. Ethylhexylglycerin reduces the surface tension of aqueous solutions, enhancing its wetting behaviour.[10] The presence of ethylhexylglycerin therefore optimises the spread of octenilin® irrigation solution into all wound fissures. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of octenidine dihydrochloride dressing and saline dressing in healing diabetic foot ulcers.

# Material and methods

This was a prospective comparative study conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences Patna, Bihar, India for 18 months, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee.

# **Inclusion criteria**

All Patients with diabetic foot ulcers of greater than 6 weeks duration, who were willing to be a part of the study. Only clinically clean wounds without any signs of acute inflammationwere included in the study.

#### Exclusion criteria

Patients with cellulitis/active wound infection, venous insufficiency and venous ulcers.

Patient with previous history of autoimmune disease.

Methodology

The technique, risks, benefits, results and associated complications of the procedure were discussed with all patients. A total of 100 patients, 50 patients in each arm of Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group and Saline dressing group, with complaints of chronic DFU, were included in this study. Regular wound dressings were done with octenidine dihydrochloride topical ointment in one group and with saline in other group and the wounds were assessed regularly for healing progress during the study period. Results

A total of 100 subjects with 50 in each group of octenidine dihydrochloride group and saline group completed the follow-up period. Among the total of 100 subjects, 76(76%) were male and 24 (24%) were female. There was a male preponderance in both the groups (72% males in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 80% males in saline group). Mean age was 57.1 in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 56.3 in saline dressing group. About 52% in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 50% in saline group had a habit of smoking and 40% in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 36% in saline group had a habit of alcohol consumption. Among the blood investigations done, haemoglobin (Hb) was taken into consideration for statistical analysis. Mean Hb in octenidine dihydrochloride group was 10.8 gm% and in saline group was 11.5 gm%. Mean duration ofdiabetes was 10.8 years in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 10.2 years in saline group. Mean duration of existence of chronic wounds was 8 months in octenidine dihydrochloride group and 9months in saline group. Both the groups were comparable in terms of demographic characteristics, habits, lab investigations, duration of diabetes and duration of chronic diabetic foot ulcer (Table 1).

Parameter	Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing	Saline dressing
Age	57.1	56.3
Gender		
Male	36 (72%)	40(80%)
Female	14 (28%)	10 (40%)
Smoking	26 (52%)	25 (50%)
Alcohol	20(40%)	18 (36%)
Duration		
Diabetes (in years)	10.8	10.2
DFU (in months)	8	9
Hemoglobin	10.8	11.5

The comparison between the outcomes of octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group and saline dressing group in terms of surface area reduction of wounds were made. The mean surface area of wound in saline group was: baseline- 10.8 sq.cm, 2nd week- 10.1 sq.cm, 4th week- 9.5 sq.cm, 6th week- 8.1 sq.cm; While in octenidine Table 2: Comparison between outcomes of Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group and saline dressing group in terms of reduction in

dihydrochloride group was: baseline- 12.3 sq.cm, 2nd week- 10.1 sq.cm, 4th week- 7.2 sq.cm, 6th week- 5.3 sq.cm. (table 2). After 6 weeks, the mean reduction in surface area of wound is more in the octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group compared with the saline dressing group and the results are statistically significant at a p < 0.05.

surface area of wound

surface area reduction of wounds	Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing	Saline dressing
Baseline	12.3 sq.cm	10.8 sq.cm
2nd week	10.1 sq.cm	10.1sq.cm
4th week	7.2 sq.cm	9.5 sq.cm
6th week	5.3 sq.cm	8.1 sq.cm

#### Discussion

Octenidine dihydrochloride is a novel bispyridine compound andwas introduced more than 20 years ago. It is a safe and effective against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria.[12] It has no known microbial resistance and is well tolerated with no side effects.10 Eisenbeiss et al.[13] in his prospective randomised study of 61 patients with superficial skin graft donor site wounds, it significantly lowered microbial colonisation compared to placebo. The aim of wound dressing is to provide a relatively clean wound

with low bacteria count that provides optimal environment for healing.[14] In our study the mean surface area of wound in saline group was: baseline- 10.8 sq.cm, 2nd week- 10.1 sq.cm, 4th week-9.5 sq.cm, 6th week- 8.1 sq.cm; While in octenidine dihydrochloride group was: baseline- 12.3 sq.cm, 2nd week- 10.1 sq.cm, 4th week-7.2 sq.cm, 6th week- 5.3 sq.cm. (table 2). After 6 weeks, the mean reduction in surface area of wound is more in the octenidine dihydrochloride dressing group compared with the saline dressing group and the results are statistically significant at a p<0.05. Many

different types of dressings for DFU have been studied by many authors.[15] DFUs have different characteristic in term of polymicrobial nature of infection, compromised tissue vascularity, loss of sensation and potentially deep-seated infection.[16] When Octenidine dihydrochloride is used in conjunction with debridement and systemic antibiotics as part of biofilm-based wound care, it is capable of managing bio-burden in chronic wounds and helps in rapid healing.

# Conclusion

We concluded that the Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing is more effective when compared to saline dressing in achieving rapid wound healing, preventing infections and decreasing morbidity in patients with chronic DFU. Furthermore, Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing has broad spectrum anti-microbial activity which takes care of bio-film that forms frequently in patients with diabetes. Hence Octenidine dihydrochloride dressing is preferred over saline dressing in chronic DFU patients.

#### References

- Guest JF, Ayoub N, McIlwrait et al., Health economic burden that wounds impose on the National Health Service in the UK. BMJ Open 2015;5: e009283.
- Vileikyte L. Diabetic foot ulcers: a quality of life issue. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2001;17(4): 246–9
- Ribu L, Hanestad BR, Moum T et al., A comparison of the health-related quality of life in patients with diabetic foot ulcers, with a diabetes group and a nondiabetes group from the general population. Qual Life Res 2007;16(2): 179–89
- Diabetes UK (2017) Improving footcare for people with diabetes and saving money: an economic study in England. London: Diabetes UK. Available at: https://bit.ly/2lR6jXU (accessed 04.07.18)
- Kerr M (2017) Diabetic Foot Care in England: an economic study. Insight Health Economics for Diabetes UK, London
- 6. Wounds International (2013) International best practice

guidelines: Wound management in diabetic foot ulcers. London, Wounds International. Available at: https://bit. ly/2fV6oGT (accessed 01.08.2018)

- Grice EA, Segre JA, Interaction of the microbiome with the innate immune response in chronic wounds. Adv Exp Med Biol 2012;946: 55–68
- 8. Phillips PL, Wolcott RD, Fletcher J et al.,Biofilms Made Easy. Wounds International 2010;1(3): s1.
- Haycocks S. Case studies: octenilin® Wound Irrigation Solution in practice. The Diabetic Foot Journal 2017;20(1): 48-53
- Cutting K, Westgate S. The use of wound cleansing solutions in chronic wounds. Wounds UK 2012;8(4): 130–3
- Schülke (2105) Quick Guide: octenilin® Range. London, Wounds UK. Available at: https://bit.ly/2KKIhZK (accessed 04.07.18)
- Sedlock D, Bailey D. Microbicidal activity of octenidine hydrochloride, a new alkanediylbis [pyridine] germicidal agent. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1985; 28:786-90.
- 13. Eisenbeiss W, Siemers F, Amtsberg G *et al.* Prospective, double-blinded, randomised controlled trial assessing theeffect of an octenidine-based hydrogel on bacterial colonisation and epithelialization of skin graft wounds in burn patients. Int J Burn Trauma. 2012; 2(2):71-9.
- Hayward PG, Morrison WA. Current Concepts in Wound Dressing. Aust Presser. 1996; 19:11-6.
- Singh A, Halder S, Chumber S, Misra MC, Sharma LK, Srivastava A *et al.* Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on hydrocolloid occlusive dressing versus conventional gauze dressing in the healing of chronic wounds. Asian J Surg. 2004; 27(4):326-32.
- Steed DL, Donohoe D, Webster MW, Lindsley L. for the Diabetic Ulcer Study Group. Effect of extensive debridement and treatment on the healing of diabetic foot ulcers. J Am Coll Surg. 1996; 183:61-4.

Conflict of Interest: Nil Source of support:Nil