e-ISSN: 2590-3241, p-ISSN: 2590-325X

# To evaluate postoperative shoulder tip pain in low pressure versus standard pressure pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Nair Furqan<sup>1\*</sup>, Javed Ullah Chauhan <sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Rayaz<sup>3</sup>, Gourav Singh Saini<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India <sup>3</sup>Senior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India <sup>4</sup>Intern, Department Of General Surgery, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India Received: 28-07-2025 / Revised: 27-08-2025 / Accepted: 15-09-2025

### **Abstract**

INTRODUCTION: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard treatment for gallstone disease. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has rapidly replaced open cholecystectomy for treatment of patients with gall bladder disease especially cholelithiasis. METHODS: The present study was conducted to evaluate the postoperative shoulder tip pain in low pressure versus standard pressure pneumo peritoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy RESULTS: The use of low pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LPLC) as compared to standard pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SPLC) significantly decreases the frequency and intensity of postoperative shoulder tip pain. LPLC decreases the demand for postoperative analgesics, decreases postoperative hospital stay and hence improves the quality of life in the early stage of postoperative rehabilitation. CONCLUSION: On the basis of these results, the widespread use of low pressure pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy is recommended.

## **Keywords:** laparoscopic, cholecystectomy

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard treatment for gallstone disease. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has rapidly replaced open cholecystectomy for treatment of patients with gall bladder disease especially cholelithiasis. The first cholecystectomy was performed on July 15, July 1882 by Karl Langenbuch in Berlin. Prof Dr Med Erich Mühe of Böblingen, Germany, performed the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy on September 12, 1985. Shoulder pain is a common complaint following laparoscopic surgery. Several causes of shoulder pain following laparoscopic surgery have been suggested which include the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> pneumoperitoneum, peritoneal stretching, diaphragmatic irritation, diaphragmatic injury and even shoulder abduction during surgery.

#### Aims and objectives

The study was undertaken with the following aims and objectives.

- To study the frequency and intensity of postoperative shoulder tip pain in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
- To compare low pressure and standard pressure pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy with respect to post operative shoulder pain

#### Materials and methods

STUDY DESIGN: Hospital based randomized controlled study DURATION OF STUDY: One year

This randomized controlled study was conducted in department of General surgery at Government Medical College, Jammu from 1st November 2021 to 31st October 2022. 100 patients with cholelithiasis undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy were recruited in study.

\*Correspondence

#### Dr.Nair Furqan

Senior Resident, Department Of General Surgery, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India

E-Mail: furqannair53@gmail.com

#### Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Elective surgery for gallstones
- 2. Normal common bile duct on preoperative ultrasound.
- 3. Target population for this study included all patients of 18 75 years of age.
- 4. ASA physical status I and II admitted to hospital with a diagnosis cholelithiasis and who are scheduled to undergo elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

#### Exclusion criteria:

- 1. History of ERCP and stent in situ
- 2. Known shoulder disease,
- 3. Empyema gallbladder,
- 4. Prior history of pancreatitis,
- 5. History of multiple abdominal surgery,
- Uncontrolled medical conditions like hypertension, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, COPD, asthma
- 7. Previous malignancy,
- 8. Patients requiring other concomitant procedures,
- Patients who do not give consent for participation in the study
- 10. Patient with cognitive impairments and
- 11. Patients on chronic analgesic use or history of addiction to
- 12. Conversion to open cholecystectomy.

CONSENT-After explaining the study, a written informed consent was taken from every patients.

## METHOLOGY

The eligible patients were assigned into two groups based on a confidentiallist of random allocation:

Group-1: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy done at an intraabdominal pressure of 7-10 mmHg (Low).

Group-2: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy done at an intraabdominal pressure of 12-14 mmHg (High).

## OPERATIVE PROCDURE:

Low Pressure Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (LPLC): Low pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed using 4 ports, 2 ports of 10 mm diameter each in epigastrium and umbilical

Furqan et al

8(4):1-5 e-ISSN: 2590-3241, p-ISSN: 2590-325X

regions and other two of 5 mm each in right hypochondrium and flank, respectively . Pneumoperitoneum was generated using  $\rm CO_2$  insufflation at a pressure of 7-10 mmHg.

Standard pressure laparoscopie cholecystectomy (SPLC): Standard pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed in the same way as low pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy except that pneumoperitoneum was generated using CO<sub>2</sub> insufflations at a pressure of 12-14 mmHg.

Postoperative shoulder to pain was assessed at 4, 8, and 24 hours after operation by the visual Analogue Scale of Pain (V.A.S). The pain scale, with scores ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (agonizing pain) was used, allowing patients to mark a point along the scale that best represented their shoulder tip pain at that time. Patients were aware that the scale served to analyse the presence and intensity of shoulder tip pain alone and was not a representation of generalized postoperative discomfort. Analgesic requirement of all the patients in the postoperative period and length of hospital stay very were also recorded. After the study, all the data was analyzed statistically using specific statistical tests. Significance was defined

as P<0.05. All the information and results thus obtained lead to the conclusion of the study.

#### Observations

The present study "To evaluate post-operative shoulder tip pain in low pressure (10mm of Hg) versus standard pressure (14 mm of Hg) pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy" has been conducted from 1st November 2021 to 31st Oct 2022 in the Department of Surgery, GMC Jammu. In this study 100 patients with cholelithiasis were admitted to the hospital for elective surgical management. The patients were allocated to two groups of 50 patients each group A and group B on the basis of randomization list done with the help of computer software. In order to keep number equal in both groups permuted block randomization was performed. Group A patients were subjected to low pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LPLC) and group B patients were subjected to standard pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SPLC) . The outcomes of the two study groups was assessed and following observation were made:

Table -1Gender Distribution in Group A and Group B

Sex	No of Patients	•		
	Group A	Group B	Group C	
Male	10	12	22	
Female	40	38	78	
Total	50	50	100	

The majority of the patients in both the groups are females 78% and males constituted 22% of the total group (Table-1). The number of males and female in group A were 10 and 40 respectively (1:4) and in group B the number of males are females were 12 and 38 respectively (1:3.1). The difference between the two being statistically insignificant.

Table-2A Age Distribution in the Group A and Group B

Table 21111ge Distribution in the Group 11 and Group D				
Age (years)	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)		
25-35	10	6		
36-45	11	9		
46-55	10	12		
56-65	16	18		
66-75	3	5		
Total	50	50		

The patients include in the Group A were in the age range of 25-73 years and in the Groups B the age of the patients ranged from 25-72 years. The youngest patients in Group A is 25 years and the oldest patients is 73 years old. The youngest patients in Group B is 25 Years and the oldest patients is 72 years old (Table 2 A).

Table -2B Distribution of mean age in two Groups

Group	Group A			Group B			
	No of patients	Mean age of year	S.D.	No of patients	Mean Age in Years	S.D.	
Total	50	49.78	12.96	50	51.92	11.73	

The mean age of the patients in Group A is  $49.78 \pm 12.85$  years and the mean age of the patients in Groups B is  $51.921\pm 1.63$  years (Table 2 B) . There is no statistically significant difference in the mean age of the two groups (p = 0.253).

Table 3.Clinical presentation of the patients with gallstone disease include in the two study groups

	8	
Clinical feature	Group A n= 50	Group B n=50
Pain right upper quadrant of abdomen	42	44
Nausea	38	40
Vomiting	12	20
Flatulent dyspepsia	34	40

The majority of the patients in Group A and Group B presented with pain in right upper quadrant of the abdomen , nausea and flatulent dyspepsia. Most of the patients in both groups are having more than one clinical feature (Table 3)

Table -4 Ultrasonographic finding in two Groups (size of gallbladder)

Size of gall bladder	Group A ( n=50)	Group B(n=50)
Normal size	24	28
Shrunken size	10	10
Distended	16	12
Total	50	50

Ultrasonographic finding in two Groups (size of gallbladder)

Table -4BComparison of number of gallstones in two groups

Number of gallstones	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)
Single stone	10	12
Multiple stones	40	38
Total	50	50

Table -5Operative finding in two study groups

Intra operative finding	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)
Normal gall bladder	24	28
Distended gall bladder	12	12
Contracted gall bladder	14	10
Adhesions of gall bladder	22	32
Gall bladder stone	50	50
Single stone in gall bladder	10	13
Multiple stone in gall bladder	40	38
Cholesterosis	5	6
Biliary sludge	9	14

The majority of the patients were having multiple gallstones in both the groups. Other intra operative finding were gall bladder distention, adhesions of the gall bladder, cholesterosis and biliary sludge.

Table -6 Distribution of mean operative time in two groups

Group A			Group B		
No. of patients	Mean (Minutes) XI	S.D.	No. of patients	Mean (Minutes) XI	S.D.
50	34.38	5.31	50	31.52	4.72

The mean of operative time in group A was more as compared to group B but the difference between the mean operative times of the two groups was statistically insignificant (p<0.05).

The mean operative time in the group A is 34.38+5.26 minutes and the mean operative time in group B is 31.52+4.68 minutes(table 6). The mean operative time in group A (LPLC), but the difference between the mean operative times of the two groups was statistically insignificant [p=0.396).

POST OPERATIVE SHOULDER TIP PAIN: In our study post operative shoulder tip pain was assessed using visual analogue score (V.A.S) at 4 hours, 8 hours and 24 hours. The number of patients that complained of shoulder tip pain presenting at any time during the first 24 hrs after operation was lower in group A than in group B. In group A 6 out of 50 patients complained of shoulder tip pain in the post operative period while as in group B 12 out of 50 patients complained of shoulder tip pain (Table 7). The difference between the two was statistically significant(p=0.001).

Table-7No. of patients with post operative shoulder tip pain in each group

Group	Group A	Group B
	(n=50)	(n=50)
post operative shoulder tip pain	6 (12%)	12(24%)

12 patients (24%) in group B complained of post operative shoulder tip pain as compared to only 6 patients (12%) in group A. The results are statistically significant with P < 0.05.

Table -8No. of patients and timing of post operative shoulder tip pain

	Tuble of tot of patients and timing of post operative shoulder up pain			
Time of the surgery	Group A	Group B		
	(n=50)	(n=50)		
4hrs	6 (12%)	12 (24%)		
8hrs	6 (12%)	12 (24%)		
24hrs	1 (2%)	6(12%)		

Table 9:Mean scores of post Operative Shoulder tip pain on V.A.S

	Table 7. Mean scor	es of post Operative Shoulder	tip pain on v.A.s	
Time after surgery	Group A		Group B	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
4 hrs	4.2	0.45	4.43	1.4
8 hrs	2.2	1.1	3.5	0.76
24 hrs	0.2	0.45	0.64	0.74

The mean intensity of post operative shoulder tip pain at 4 hours was  $4.20\pm.45$  in group A and  $4.431.\pm4$  in group B. The difference between the two is statistically significant (p=0.039).

The mean density of post operative shoulder tip pain assessed by visual analogue scoring scale at any time was less in group A as compared to group B (Table 9).

The mean intensity of post operative shoulder tip pain at 4 hours was  $4.2\pm0.45$  in group A and  $4.431\pm.4$  in group B(Table 9). The difference between the two is statistically significant (p=0.039).

The mean intensity of post operative shoulder tip pain at 8 hours was 2.2±1.1 in group A and 3.50±.76 in group B(Table 9). The difference between the two is statistically significant (p=0.762).

The mean intensity of post operative shoulder tip pain at 24 hours was  $0.20\pm0.45$  in group A and  $0.64\pm0.74$  in group B(Table 9). The difference between the two is statistically significant (p=0.068).

e-ISSN: 2590-3241, p-ISSN: 2590-325X

Table- 10 Use of injectable analgesic (dielofenac) in patients with shoulder tip pain

Group	Group A	Group B
	(n=6)	(n=12)
Total no. of analgesic injections	11	38
Mean	2.2	2.71
Standard deviation	0.45	0.5

Analgesic requirements for shoulder tip pain were less in group A as compared to group B. The mean number of analgesic injections was  $1.6\pm0.45$  in group A and  $2.80\pm.5$  in group B (Table 10). The difference between the two is however statistically insignificant (p=0.156).

Table-11Length of postoperative hospital stay (days)

Group	Group A (n=6)	Group B (n=12)
Post operative hospital stay (Mean)	1.1	1.21
Standard deviation	0.45	0.36

The length of post operative stay in the hospital was  $1.1 \neq 0.45$  and  $1.21 \neq 0.36$  in group A and group B respectively (Table 11). However, these difference between the two groups did not reach statistical significance (p=0.589).

## **Summary and conclusion**

The following observations and conclusions were drawn from the present study:-

- Majority of patients in both groups were females, constituting 80% of group A and 76% of group B. while the male patients constituted about 20% of group A and 24% of group B.
- Pain in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen, nausea and flatulent dyspepsia were the main presenting features in most of the patients in group A as well in group B.
- The mean operative time was more in group A as compared to Group B although the difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.396).
- 12 patients (24%) in group B complained of post operative shoulder tip pain as compared to only 6 patients (12%) in group A. The results are statistically significant with P<0.05.
- The mean intensity of post operative shoulder tip pain assessed by visual analogue scoring scale at 4 hours, 8 hours and 24 hours was less in group A as compared to group B. However, statistically significant difference was found only at 4 hours (p<0.05).</li>
- Analgesic requirements for shoulder tip pain were less in group A as compared to group B. However, the results were not statistically significant (p<0.156)</li>
- The mean length of post operative stay in the hospital was less in group A as compared to group B, although the difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.589).

To conclude, the use of low pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LPLC) as compared to standard pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SPLC) significantly decreases the frequency and intensity of postoperative shoulder tip pain. LPLC decreases the demand for postoperative analgesics, decreases postoperative hospital stay and hence improves the quality of life in the early stage of postoperative rehabilitation. On the basis of these results, the widespread use of low pressure pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy is recommended.

## References

- Ananth K. Kapur BML, Chronic Cholecystitis and Biliary Tract Infection. Indonesian Jour Surgery 1983; 45:411-413.
- A. Uzunkoy, Coskun, O.F. Akinci. The value of pre-emptive analgesia in the treatment of postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Eur Surg Res 2001; 33:39-41.

- Barczynski M, Herman RM. A prospective randomized trial on comparison of low-pressure (LP) and standard-pressure (SP) pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc 2003; 17(4):533-538.
- Barczynski M, Herman RM. Low-pressure pneumoperitoneum combined with intraperitioneal saline washout for reduction of pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective ramdomized study Surg Endosc 2004; 18(9):1368-1373.
- Berberoglu M, Dilek ON, Ercan F. The effect of Co2 insuffation rate on the post laparoscopic shoulder pain. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 1998; 8:273-277.
- Bobbs J. Case of lithotomy of gall bladder. Trass Ind State Med Soc 1868; 18:68.
- Carolyn L. Cason, Saundra L. Seidel, Marty Bushmaier. Recovery from laparoscopic cholecystectomy procedures. AQRN Journal 1996; 8:76-79.
- 8. Chok KS, Yuen WK, Lau H. Fan ST. Prospective ramdomized irial on low-pressure versus standard-pressure pneumoperitoneum in outpatient laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2006; 16(6):383-386
- Collins KM, Docherty PW, Plantevin OM. Postoperative morbidity following gynecological outpatient laparoscopy. A reappraisal of the service. Anaesthesia 1984; 39:819-822.
- Cunniffe MG, McAnena OJ, Dar MA, Calleary J. Flynn N. A prospective randomized trial of intraoperative bupivacaine irrigation for management of shoulder-tip pain following laparoscopy. Am J Surg 1998; 176(3):258-261.
- 11. Dubois F, Icard P, Berthelot G, Levard H. Coelioscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Surg 1990; 211:60-62
- Esmat ME, Elsebae MM, Nasr MM, Elsebaie SB. Combines low pressure pneumoperitoneum and intraperitoneal infusion of normal saline for reducing shoulder tip pain following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World J Surg 2006;30(11):1969-1973.
- Faisal Bilal Lodhi, Riaz Hussain. laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Low-pressure pneumoperitoneum for shoulder-tip pain. Professional Med J 2003; 10(4):266-270.
- Gharaibeh KI, Al-Jaberi TM. Bupivacaine instillation into gallbladder bed after laparoscopic cholecystectomy does it decrease shoulder pain? J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2000; 10:137-141.
- Gurusamy KS, Samraj K, Davidson BR. Low pressure versus standard pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; 15(2):6930.
- Hardy KJ. Events and circumstances surrounding the first cholecystectomy. The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Surgery 1993; 63:56-64.

Furqan et al

- 17. Haribhakti SP, Patel NR, Naik RP, Soni HN, Patel B, Bhavsar MS, Narwaria MB, Thakker R. A prospective ramdomized, controlled study comparing low pressure versus high pressure pneumperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc Tech 2009; 19(3):234-40.
- Haubrich WS. History of endoscopy. In Sivak (ed): Gaestronterologic Endoscopy. WB Saunders, Philadelphin 1987; 8:97-99.
- Hernandez-Palazon J, Tortosa JA.Nuno de la Rosa V,Gimenez-Viudes J, Ramirez G, Robles R. Intraperitoneal application of bupivacaine plus morphine for pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Eur J. Anaesthesiol 2003; 20(11):891-896.
- Hong JY.Lee lH. Suprascapular nerve block or a piroxicam patch for shoulder tip pain after day case laparoscopic surgery. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2003; 20(3); 234-238.
- Jackson SA, Laurence AS, Hill JC. Does postlaparoscopy pain relate to residual carbon dioxide? Anaesthesia 1996; 51:485-487.
- Johnson N, Onwude JL, Player J. Pain after laparoscopy: an observational study and a ramdomized trial of local anesthetic. J Gynecol Surg 1994; 10:129-138.
- Jorgensen JO, Gillies RB, Hunt DR. A simple and effective way to reduce postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Aust NZ J Surg 1995; 65:466-469.
- Joris J. Cigarini I, Legrand M. Metabolic and respiratory changes after cholecystectomy performed via laparotomy or laparoscopy. Br J Anaesth 1992; 69:341-345.
- Koivusalo AM, Kellokumpa I. Gasless laparoscopic cholecystectomy: comparison of postoperative recovery with conventional technique. Br J Anaesth 1996; 77:576-580.
- Kojima Y.Yokota S, Ina H. Shoulder pain after gynecological laparoscopy caused by arm abeduction. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2004; 21:578-579.
- Korell M. Schmaus F, Stroitzki T. Pain intensity following laparoscopy. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1996;6:375-379.
- Lepner U, Goroshina J, Samarutel J. Postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomised prospective double-blind clinical trial. Scand J Surg 2003; 92:121-124.
- Lightdale CJ. Laparoscopy and biopsy in malignant liver disease. Cancer supplement 1982; 11:2672.
- Mahmut Koc, Tamer Ertan, Mesut Tez. Randomized, prospective comparison of postoperative pain in low-versus high-pressure pneumoperitoneum. ANZ Journal of Surgery 2005; 75(8):693-696.
- 31. Marco Alan P, Yeo Charles J and Rock Peter. Anaesthesia for a patient undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Anaesthesiology 1990; 73:1268-1270.
- 32. Metin Berberoglu, Osman Nuri Dilek, Feyzan Ercan, Ismail Kati, Mahir Ozmen. The effect of Co<sub>2</sub> insuffation rate on the past laparoscopic shoulder pain. Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques 1998; 8(5):273-277.

- M. Look, S.E. Liew, D.M.O. Cheong, J.C.H. Tan, S.B. Wee, C.H. Teh and C.H. Low, Post-operative pain in needlescopic versus conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A prospective randomized trial J.R. Coll. Surg 2001; 8:138-142.
- Ng A,Smith G. Is intraperitioneal levobupivacaine with epinephrine useful for analgesia following laparoscopic cholecyste-ctomy? A randomized controlled trial. Enr J Anaesthesiol 2004; 21:653-657.
- 35. Phinchantra P, Bunyavehchevin S, Suwajanakorn S. The preemptive analgesic effect of celecoxib for day-case diagnostic laparoscopy. J Med Assoc Thai 2004; 87:283-288.
- Putensen-Himmer G, Putensen C, Lammer H. Comparison of postoperative respiratory function after laparoscopy or open laparotomy for cholecystectomy. Anesthesiology 1992; 77:675-680.
- 37. Puura A, Puolakka P, Rorarius M, Salmelin R, Lindgren L. Etoricoxib pre-medication for post-operative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2006; 50(6): 688-693.
- Ribet-Reinhart N, de Pouvourville G, Viens-Bitker C, Fendrick M, Amar L. Cost, postoperative pain & recovery. laparoscopic cholecystectomy vs open surgery. Abstr Int Soc Technol Assess Health Care Meet 1993; 9:83.
- Rubinstein LM, Lebherz TB, Kleinkopf V. laparoscopic tubal sterilization: Long-term postoperative follow-up. Contraception 1976; 13:631-638.
- 40. Sandhu T, Yamada S. Ariyakachon V, Chakrabandhu T, Chongruksut W, Ko-iam W, Low-pressure pneuoperitoneum versus standard pneuoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A prospective randomized clinical trial. Surg Endosc 2009; 23(5):1044-1047.
- 41. Sarli L, Costi R, Sansebastiano G, Trivelli M, Roncoroni L. Prospective randomized trial of low-pressure pneumoperitoneum for reduction of shoulder-tip pain following laparoscopy. Br J Surg 2000;87(9):1161-1165.
- Slim K, Bousquet J, Kwiatkowski F. Effect of Co2 gas warming on pain after laparoscopic surgery: a randomized double-blind controlled trial. Surg Endosc 1999; 13:1110-1114.
- 43. Sood Jayashree, Kumar V P. Anaesthesia for laparoscopic surgery. Indian Journal of Surgery 2003; 65:232-240.
- Tharwat Saad Kandil, Emad El Hefnawy. Shoulder pain following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Factors Affecting the Incidence and Severity. Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques 2010; 20(8):677-682.
- U Lepner, J Goroshina, J Samarutel. Postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized prospective double-blind clinical trial. Scandinavian journal of surgery 2003; 92(2): 121-124.
- Vezakis A, Davides D, Gibson JS. Randomized comparison between low-pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy and gasless laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc 1999; 13:890,893
- Watt-Watson J, Chung F, Chan VW. Pain management following discharge after ambulatory sameday surgery. J Nurs Manag 2004; 12:153-161.

Conflict of Interest:Nil Source of support:None